## Nottingham City Council Executive Decision Call-In Request Form

This form should be submitted to the Head of Democratic Services, Legal and Democratic Services, Resources by midnight on the fifth working day after the decision publication date. The signed form should be submitted in original hard copy. If the form is being submitted after the office has closed on the fifth working day, it should be signed, scanned and emailed to *all* of the following individuals:

**Head of Democratic Services** 

debra.lamola@nottinghamcity.gov.uk

Senior Governance Officers

kim.pocock@nottinghamcity.gov.uk rav.kalsi@nottinghamcity.gov.uk

The original hard copy of the form must then be provided to the Head of Democratic Services on the following morning.

For further information about the call-in procedure please see the Overview and Scrutiny Guide to Call-In and/ or contact the Senior Governance Officers on 0115 8764313 or 0115 8763759.

Date of decision publication:		
Portfolio Holder Decision reference number:		
or Executive Board minute number:	90 of 22/3/16	
or Executive Board Sub Committee minute nu	The state of the s	
or Area Committee minute number:		
or Officer Decision reference number:		
Description of decision: Proposed expansion of Fernwood Primary School, Wollaton.		
The following signatories request that the above decision be called in.		
1. Signature Gessains Culley	Print name GEORGINA CHILEY	
2. Signature	Print name J.M. ARMSTRONG	
3. Signature	Print name	
Nottingham City Council Overview and Scrutiny  Call In Request Form Updated October 2014		

## Reason for requesting the decision be called in

The request for call-in must be based on one or more of the following reasons below. [Tick the appropriate box or boxes and provide details for the reason, appending additional sheets if necessary]

,,		
Reason for requesting call-in:		
a) The decision is outside the budget/ policy framework	×	
No where in the report to the Executive		
Board is it made clear that 57		
those consulted in Sept/Oct 2015 we against further expansion. Nor wa	ere !	
against further expansion. Nor was made closer at that fine this was the	start	
Reason for requesting call-in: b) Inadequate consultation relating to the decision	×	
b) madequate constitution relating to the decision		
The majority of those being consult	ted	
consultation on the expansion of the	4	
school is ongoing until the end of	1	
this year Previous consultation. Sept for October 2015 indicated 57% u	from reve acjainst	
Reason for requesting call-in:		
c) Relevant information not considered		
The report to the Executive Board	does	
not address the already difficult sil	teatron	
from the current school attendance of the surrounding roads of residential	evel	
There is a considerate lack of parking particular the existing level of activity of attendance	at the	
Reason for requesting call-in: d) Viable alternatives not considered		
	X	
Weilter this report minute, nor the Do	alegated	
Neilter this reportminute, nor the Do Decisjon, refers touviable alternatives westigated such as a new school	in Wolldon	

Reason for requesting call-in:  e) Justification for the decision open to challenge on the basis of evidence considered
head observation states "before any decision is taken," this should be consulted upon on implementation. 57% of those gonsulted were against expansion of the school
Suggestions for Call In Panel meeting
If the call in request is valid a meeting of the Call In Panel will be held. Please list below any evidence and/ or contributors that you think should be made available to the Call In Panel.
[Please note that these will be considered as suggestions only and the final decision on evidence and contributors will be made by the Chair of the Call In Panel.]
Suggested list of evidence to be provided/ contributors to attend the Call In Panel meeting
For office use only:  Received on habelf of the Head of Democratic Services by:    Aux   Democratic Services by:   Aux   Democratic Services   Aux   Democrati
Received on behalf of the Head of Democratic Services by: (signature)  Name: RAV KALS   Date: 30/03/2016 Time: 9.57am
Name: RAV KALS   Date: 30/03/2016 Time: 9.57am

Validation Check: Head of Democratic Services		
Date of publication: Date of call-in: In time: YES / NO		
Office checks that call-in is valid against requirements as set out in the Constitution: YES / NO		
Reason:		
Completed by: (signature)		
(name)		
Date: Time:		
Validation Check: (if necessary) Director of Legal and Democratic Services		
Valid: YES / NO		
Reason: I am salisfied that the request for call-in is valid in respect of reasons (b) to (e). It is not valid in		
in respect of reasons (b) to (e) It is not valid in		
respect of reason (a) as the rationalle given does not set out why the decision is outside the budget policy framework.		
Why The decision is outside the tridget policy framework.		
Completed by: White Courses (signature)  MALCOUN R. TOWNIGE (name)  Date: S. 14. 16 Time: 11. Am		
MALCOUM R. TOWNROE (name)		
Date: 5 4 16 Time: 11 Am		
Referrals:  Date copied to Corporate Director / Portfolio Holder  50412016		
Name of Corporate Director  Alison Michalena		
Name of Portfolio Holder Council of Som Web Sec		
Date copied to Chair of Overview and Scrutiny Committee: 504/2016		